

Docket No.: 241972US8/jkl

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Tadao TAKAMI, et al.

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/647,239

GROUP: 2454

FILED: August 26, 2003

EXAMINER: PARK, JEONG S.

FOR: MOBILE COMMUNICATION TERMINAL, DETECTION CONDITION
DISTRIBUTION SERVER AND DETECTED MAIL NOTIFICATION RECEIVING
SERVER

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication of Allowability of the claimed invention. In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance of June 2, 2009, Applicants respectfully submit the following comments.

In the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance on page 2 of the Notice of Allowance mailed June 2, 2009, paragraph 2 states in part:

The prior art of record does not provide for nor suggests providing for as follows:

A mobile communication terminal comprising detection conditions acquisition means for acquiring detection conditions data including a letter string from a detection conditions distribution server and storing the detection condition data in a detection condition storage means, wherein the detection conditions acquisition means sends category information input at a user interface of the mobile communication terminal to the detection conditions distribution server, and acquires detection condition data including a letter string relevant to the category indicated by the category information sent by the detection conditions distribution server [.]

Although the above comment seems to be directed to independent Claim 1, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 13, 14 and 15 do not include all of the elements recited above. For example, Claim 13 recites a "detection conditions server for

controlling detection condition data' and a "detected mail processing control unit configured to store information" and not a "detection conditions acquisition means for acquiring detection conditions data" and a "detection conditions storage means," respectively; Claim 14 does not recite either a "detection conditions acquisition means" or a "detection condition storage means;" and Claim 15 recites a "detection conditions acquisition unit" and a "memory configured to store information" and not a "detection conditions acquisition means" and a "detecting condition storage means," respectively. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the above quoted statement applies only to independent Claim 1 (and claims dependent therefrom), and not to independent Claims 13, 14 and 15 (and claims dependent therefrom), to the extent the language used in the statement differs from the language of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 05/04)

Craig R. Feinberg
Registration No. 62,116